



## Common Fixed Point Theorems for Four Mappings in Complete 2-Banach Space

S.N. Leena Nelson

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics

Women's Christian College, Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District, (T.N.), India – 629 001.

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received: 19 February 2019;

Received in revised form:

6 June 2019;

Accepted: 17 June 2019;

### Keywords

2-Banach Space,  
Self Mapping,  
Weakly Compatible,  
Fixed Point,  
Complete Banach Space.

### ABSTRACT

This paper deals with few fixed point theorem for four mappings and some results on 2-Banach space.

© 2019 Elixir All rights reserved.

### Introduction

In 1976 Iseki [1] introduced some fixed point theorems in Banach space. In 1993, Khan introduced involutions with fixed points in 2-Banach space. In the present paper I establish some common fixed point results for four mappings in 2-Banach space which mainly generalize the results of Amalendu Choudhury and T. Som and V.H. Badsha, Rekha Jain and saurabh Jain.

### Preliminary definitions and results:

Let  $X$  be a linear space and  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$  be a real valued function defined on  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\|x, y\| = 0$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly dependent.
- (ii)  $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$  for all  $x, y \in X$
- (iii)  $\|x, y + z\| \leq \|x, y\| + \|x, z\|$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$

$\|\cdot, \cdot\|$  is called a 2-norm and the pair  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is called a linear 2-normed space.

Basic properties of the 2-norms are that they are non-negative and  $\|x, y + ax\| = \|x, y\| \forall x, y \in X$  and all real number  $a$ .

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a linear 2-normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is called a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_m - x_n, y\| = 0 \forall y$  in  $X$ .

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a linear 2-normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is said to be convergent to a point  $x$  in  $X$  if  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_m - x, y\| = 0 \forall y$  in  $X$ .

### 0 $\forall y$ in $X$ .

A linear 2-normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is called a 2-Banach space.

Let  $X$  be a 2-Banach space and  $T$  be a self mapping of  $X$ .  $T$  is said to be continuous at  $x$  if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  then  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$ .

Let  $X$  be a 2-Banach space.  $T$  and  $S$  are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points. i.e.,

$$Tx = Sx \text{ for some } x \in X \Rightarrow TSx = STx.$$

**Main Results:**

Let  $X$  be complete 2-normed linear space such that 2-norm satisfies the  $\alpha$  –property with  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be self mappings of  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $A(x) \subseteq T(X), B(x) \subseteq S(X)$  and  $T(X)$  or  $S(X)$  is a closed subset of  $X$ .

(ii) **The** pairs  $(A, S)$  and  $(B, T)$  are weakly compatible.

**For all**  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\|Ax, By\| \leq k_1[(\|Sx, Ty\| \cdot \|Ax, Sx\| \cdot \|By, Ty\|)] + k_2 \left[ (\|Sx, Ty\| \cdot \frac{\|Sx, By\| + \|Ax, Ty\|}{2}) \cdot \|By, Ty\| \right]$  where  $k_1, k_2 > 0$  and  $0 < (k_1 + k_2) < 1$  the  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $X$ . By (i) we can define inductively a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$  and  $y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$  for  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

We claim that the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}\| &= \|Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}\| \\ &\leq k_1[(\|Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}\| \cdot \|Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}\|) \cdot \|Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}\|] + \\ &k_2 \left[ (\|Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}\| \cdot \frac{\|Sx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}\| + \|Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}\|}{2} \cdot \|Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}\|) \right] \\ &\leq k_1[(\|y_{2n-1}, y_{1n}\| \cdot \|y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}\|) \cdot \|y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}\|] \\ &\quad + k_2 \left[ (\|y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}\| \cdot \frac{\|y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}\| + \|y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}\|}{2} \cdot \|y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}\|) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Let  $d_n = \|y_n, y_{n+1}\|$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d_{2n} &\leq k_1[(d_{2n-1} \cdot d_{2n-1}) \cdot d_{2n}] + k_2 \left[ \left( d_{2n-1} \cdot \frac{d_{2n-1} + d_{2n-1}}{2} \right) \cdot d_{2n} \right] \\ &\leq \alpha k_1 \max\{d_{2n-1}, d_{2n-1}, d_{2n}\} + \alpha k_2 \max\{d_{2n-1}, d_{2n-1}, d_{2n}\} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $d_{2n} > d_{2n-1}$

$d_{2n} \leq \alpha k_1 d_{2n} + \alpha k_2 d_{2n} = (k_1 + k_2) \alpha d_{2n}$  which is a contradiction.

Hence,  $d_{2n-1} > d_{2n} \Rightarrow d_{2n} \leq d_{2n-1}$

Similarly,  $d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}$

$$\therefore d_n \leq d_{n-1} \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Using above,  $d_n \leq \alpha(k_1 + k_2)d_{n-1} = kd_{n-1}$ , where  $\alpha(k_1 + k_2) = k < 1$

$$\therefore d_n \leq kd_{n-1} \leq k \cdot kd_{n-2} \leq \dots \leq k \cdot k \cdot \dots \cdot kd_0 \text{ (ntimes)}$$

$$\therefore d_n \leq kd_{n-1} \leq k^2 d_{n-2} \leq \dots \leq k^n d_0$$

That is,

$$\|y_n, y_{n+1}\| \leq k^n \|y_0, y_1\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

If  $m > n$ ,  $\|y_n, y_m\| \leq \|y_n, y_{n+1}\| + \|y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}\| + \dots + \|y_{m-1}, y_m\|$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq k^n \|y_0, y_1\| + k^{n+1} \|y_0, y_1\| + \dots + k^{m-1} \|y_0, y_1\| = (k^n + k^{n+1} + \dots + k^{m-1}) \|y_0, y_1\| \\ &= \frac{k^n}{1-k} \|y_0, y_1\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ asm, } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and by the completeness of  $X$ ,  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $y \in X$ .

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{2n+1} = y.$$

Assume that  $T(X)$  is a closed subset of  $X$ .

Then there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $Tv = y$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{If } Bv \neq y, \text{ then by using (iii), we obtain } \|As_{2n}, Bv\| \leq k_1 [(\|Sx_{2n}, Tv\| \cdot \|Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}\|) \cdot \|Bv, Tv\|] + \\ &k_2 \left[ (\|Sx_{2n}, Tv\| \cdot \frac{\|Sx_{2n}, Bv\| + \|Ax_{2n}, Tv\|}{2}) \cdot \|Bv, Tv\| \right] \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|y, Bv\| &\leq k_1 [\|y, Tv\| \cdot \|y, y\| \cdot \|Bv, Tv\|] + k_2 \left[ \left( \|y, Tv\| \cdot \frac{\|y, Bv\| + \|y, Tv\|}{2} \right) \cdot \|Bv, Tv\| \right] \\ &< (k_1 + k_2) \alpha \|Bv, y\| \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $Bv = y = Tv$ .

Since  $B$  and  $T$  are weakly compatible, we have  $BTv = TBv$  and so  $By = Ty$ .

If  $y \neq By$  by (iii) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax_{2n}, By\| &\leq k_1 [(\|Sx_{2n}, Ty\| \cdot \|Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}\|) \cdot \|By, Ty\|] + \\ &k_2 \left[ (\|Sx_{2n}, Ty\| \cdot \frac{\|Sx_{2n}, By\| + \|Ax_{2n}, Ty\|}{2}) \cdot \|By, Ty\| \right] \end{aligned}$$

As limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y, By\| &\leq k_1 [\|y, Ty\| \cdot \|y, y\| \cdot \|By, Ty\|] + k_2 \left[ \left( \|y, Ty\| \cdot \frac{\|y, By\| + \|y, Ty\|}{2} \right) \cdot \|By, Ty\| \right] \\ &\leq k_1 \alpha \max\{\|y, Ty\|, \|y, y\|, \|By, Ty\|\} + k_2 \alpha \max\left\{ \|y, Ty\|, \frac{\|y, By\| + \|y, Ty\|}{2}, \|By, Ty\| \right\} < \|y, By\| \end{aligned}$$

and so  $By = y$ .

Since,  $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ , there exists  $w \in X$  such that  $Sw = y$ .

If  $Aw \neq y$  by (iii) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Aw, By\| &\leq k_1 [(\|Sw, Ty\| \cdot \|Aw, Sw\|) \cdot \|By, Ty\|] \\ &\quad + k_2 \left[ \left( \|Sw, Ty\| \cdot \frac{\|Sw, By\| + \|Aw, Ty\|}{2} \right) + \|By, Ty\| \right] \\ \therefore \|Aw, y\| &\leq k_1 [(\|Sw, y\| \cdot \|Aw, Sw\|) \cdot \|y, y\|] + k_2 \left[ \left( \|Sw, y\| \cdot \frac{\|Sw, y\| + \|Aw, y\|}{2} \right) + \|y, y\| \right] \\ &\leq k_1 \alpha \max\{\|Sw, y\|, \|Aw, Sw\|, \|y, y\|\} + k_2 \alpha \max\left\{ \|Sw, y\|, \frac{\|Sw, y\| + \|Aw, y\|}{2}, \|y, y\| \right\} < \|Aw, y\| \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $Aw = y$ .

Hence,  $Aw = Sw = y$ .

Since  $A$  and  $S$  are weakly compatible,  $ASw = SAw$  and so, if  $Ay \neq By$  they by (iii) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Ay, y\| &= \|Ay, By\| \\
&\leq k_1 [(\|Sy, Ty\| \cdot \|Ay, Sy\|) \cdot \|By, Ty\|] \\
&\quad + k_2 \left[ \left( \|Sy, Ty\| \cdot \frac{\|Sy, By\| + \|Ay, Ty\|}{2} \right) \cdot \|By, Ty\| \right] \\
&\leq k_1 \alpha \max\{\|Sy, y\|, \|Ay, Sy\|, \|y, y\|\} + k_2 \alpha \max\left\{ \|Sy, y\|, \frac{\|Sy, y\| + \|Ay, y\|}{2}, \|y, y\| \right\} \\
&< \|Ay, y\|
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\|Ay, y\| < \|Ay, y\|$  and so  $Ay = y$ .

Thus  $Ay = Sy = By = Ty = y$ .

That is,  $y$  is a common fixed point for  $A, B, S$  and  $T$ .

The proof is similar when  $S(X)$  is assumed to be a closed subset of  $X$ .

### References

1. Iseki K (1976): Fixed Point theorems in Banach Spaces, Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ. pp. 211-213.
2. Khan M.S., Khan M.D. (1993): Involutions with fixed points in 2- Banach Spaces, Int. J. Math & Math.Sci. 16, pp. 429-34.
3. Thoades B.E. (1979): Contraction type mappings on a 2-metric space, Math. Nachr. 91 pp. 151-155.
4. Som T (2005) : Some fixed point results in 2-Banach space, International Jour. Math. Sci. 4(2), pp. 323-328.
5. V.H. Badshah, Rekha Jain and Saurabh Jain: Common fixed point theorem for four mappings in Complete spaces, Journal of Indian Acad. Math Vol. 33, No.1(2011) pp.97-103.
6. Amalendu Choudhury and T. Som: 2-Banach space and some fixed point results, Journal of Indian Acad. Math. Vol. 33, No.2(2011) pp. 411-418.